



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,255	3,255 04/24/2001		Ramarathnam Venkatesan	MS1-647US	7357	
22801	7590	09/07/2005		EXAMINER		
LEE & HA		.C VENUE SUITE 500		BALI, VI	KKRAM	
SPOKANE, WA 99201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2623		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/843,255	VENKATESAN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vikkram Bali	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comproducing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the statutory period for reply expires and statutory period for reply expires on:	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	·	,
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the compared to the first the fi	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	.,		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendment	· (PTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	. (F10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	· ——	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>residence</u>	not be entered is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A desa NOT desa de la companya de la	1741	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: _____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Vikkram Bali Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2623

Application No.

09/843,255

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Pending claims are still deemed unpatentable over the art of record and the applicants arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the references. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, reference Li discloses a computer-implemented method for hashing a body of text, wherein obtaining a body of text (see Figure 1A, Step 100; Figure 1B, Step 120; Column 6, Lines 40-50) containing textual content in a computer readable format (see figure 6, the textual content format is taken or stored in to the processor i.e. computer), deriving a hash value representative of content of the body of text, perceptually distinct bodies of text having hash values that are substantially independent of each other (Figures 2, 4A-B and 5; Column 7, Lines 17-67, Column 8, Lines 1-14. Non-duplicative groups of the signature vector 25 have been hashed to the bucket address table.). And reference Karaev teaches information delivery system where the textual data is converted in to the PDF format in order to store or delivery the data (see col. 3, lines 28-30 and lines 33-37). One ordinary skilled on the art at the time of invention can simply combine the data conversion of Karaev to the Li's method in order to safe guard the data.